Practice Observation



Name: Click or tap here to enter text.

Evaluation Criteria for					
rating					
1	Not Met at all				
2	Partially Met				
3	Minimum Standards met				
4	Met to a good standard				
5	Met to exceptional				
	standard				

	Fuel vetice Criteria				
	Evaluation Criteria	Rating (1 to 5)	Evidence and comments		
1.	To what extent did the practitioner plan and prepare for the session?	(2 3 3)			
1.	To what extent did the practitioner present themselves professionally in dress, attitude and maintain professional boundaries (including good time-keeping)?				
3.	To what extent did the practitioner support the team?				
4.	How well did the practitioner use their interpersonal skills to interact with service-user sensitively and constructively? (I.e. showing empathy, respect for dignity and appropriate use of authority).				
5.	To what extent did the practitioner show 'respectful disbelief' (cautious scepticism) by asking relevant questions, probing and clarifying information that was unclear or contradictory?				
6.	How effectively was new information addressed by the practitioner?				

Practice Observation



7. How effectively did the practitioner	
manage any dissatisfaction/	
disagreement/conflict?	
To what extent did the practitioner seek	
the views of the:	
a. child(ren) b. parents/carers	
·	
c. significant others present	
9. To what degree did the practitioner	
ensure that safeguarding expectations	
were met?	
10. Was the environment safe?	
10. Was the environment sale:	
11. To what extent were issues of risk of	
significant harm and/or unmet needs	
made explicit and/or addressed?	
12. How well did the practitioner encourage	
the young person to find their own solutions?	
13. How well were issues of equalities and	
diversity recognised and appropriately	
addressed?	
14. To what extent did the practitioner work	
in coproduction?	
15. How well did the prostitioner manage the	
15. How well did the practitioner manage the session covering all the areas she/he	
had planned to?	